



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,314	12/31/2003	Michael W. McLane	McLane	4050
<div>7590 07/12/2007</div> <div>Michael W. McLane P.O. Box 39542 Baltimore, MD 21212</div> <div>EXAMINER CHUI, MEI PING</div> <div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>1616</div> <div>MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>07/12/2007 PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,314	MCLANE, MICHAEL W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Mei-Ping Chui	1609	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Helen Mei-Ping Chui.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael McLane.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2007
Time: 1:50 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHANN RICHTER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 1200

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- (1) The Examiner notified the Applicant that the incoming documents received by the Examiner on April 30, 2007 were non-responsive. The examiner had referred the sections of MPEP 706.02(b) and 714.05 to the Applicant for a proper response to the first office action on the merits.
- (2) The Applicant was directed to the USPTO website for the listing of registered patent attorneys should the Applicant need further legal assistance for the prosecution of Applicant's application.